

6. The stand of Claim 5, which includes a canopy supported by said covering arch.

7. The stand of Claim 6 wherein said canopy is formed of flexible sheet material suspended over battens extending perpendicularly from said covering arch...


REMARKS

The above effected amendment is made pursuant to the suggestion of the Examiner. The office action of June 28, 2004, suggests that claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. The newly proposed claim 5 is claim 3 rewritten as suggested. The newly posed claims 6 and 7 are claims 2 and 4 (of the original application) rewritten to depend on claim 5. If claim 5 is allowable, then claims 6 and 7 would also be allowable.

In view of the foregoing, favorable reconsideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Name: J. W. Gipple, Esq.

Title: Attorney for Applicant

Date: 8/2/04

Attorney Ref. X-9443